

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of)	Confirmation No.:	1016
)		
SMITH et al.)	Group Art Unit:	2863
)		
Serial No.: 09/746,754)	Examiner:	Bhat, A.
)		
Filed: 21 December 2000)	Docket No.:	POLY8
)		
For: INITIAL CALIBRATION OF A)	Customer No.:	006980
LOCATION SENSING WHITEBOARD)		
TO A PROJECTED DISPLAY)		

RESPONSE AND AMENDMENT AFTER DECISION
ON APPEAL IN ACCORDANCE WITH 37 C.F.R. § 41.50

Mail Stop AMENDMENT

Honorable Commissioner of Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Atlanta, GA 30308-2216
07 January 2008

Sir:

The *Decision on Appeal* (hereinafter the “*Decision*”) of 06 November 2007 by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences (hereinafter the “Board”) has been received and carefully reviewed. In the Board’s *Decision*, a new rejection was made under 37 C.F.R. § 41.50(b). In response thereto, Applicant respectfully elects to reopen prosecution before the Examiner by submitting an appropriate amendment, and thus submits this *Response And Amendment After Decision On Appeal In Accordance With 37 C.F.R. § 41.50* (hereinafter the “*Response and Amendment*”). See 37 C.F.R. § 41.50(b)(1).

According to the MPEP, the amendment under § 41.50(b)(1) must be filed within two months from the date of the Board’s decision. See MPEP § 1214.01. The *Decision* is dated 06 November 2007, to which a response is due 07 January 2008 (06 January 2008 falls on a Sunday); hence, Applicant timely submits this *Response and Amendment*.

Listing of the Claims begin on page 2 of this paper.

Remarks/Arguments begin on page 4 of this paper.